



WALKEY Cliff  
<WALKEY.Cliff@deq.st  
ate.or.us>

10/26/04 09:35 AM

To: Julie Wroble/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Alan  
Goodman/R10/USEPA/US@EPA  
cc:  
Subject: FW: RE: responses to your questions

4.1.1

I know you have seen this, but I wanted to share (b) (6) comment to DEQ. Perhaps we should discuss this in tomorrow's teleconference.  
cliff

-----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6) ]  
Sent: Monday, October 25, 2004 2:53 PM  
To: WALKEY Cliff  
Subject: FW: RE: responses to your questions

Hi Cliff,

Just wanted to keep you informed of this. I really do not understand why they continue to allow the residents, in particular the children, to be exposed as "lab rats". Not in any way that I come at the results of all this "risk analysis" and continued sampling, and even taking into account the strict path that EPA has designated for emergency action moving into remedial action, can I justify professional ethics that would allow the proposed protocols to continue "evaluating" the site for remediation.

Regards,

(b) (6)

> [Original Message]  
> From: (b) (6)  
> To: Wroble.Julie@epamail.epa.gov <Wroble.Julie@epamail.epa.gov>  
> Cc: <Smith.Judy@epamail.epa.gov>; <Goodman.Alan@epamail.epa.gov>;  
> <Villa.Clifford@epamail.epa.gov>; <Heister.Dan@epamail.epa.gov>  
> Date: 10/25/2004 2:37:47 PM  
> Subject: RE: responses to your questions  
>  
> Ms. Wroble:  
>  
> I appreciate your taking time to respond since I was unable to be at  
> the  
meeting on the 13th of October, (b) (6). Your  
responses are clear enough, and do not, I believe, warrant my following  
up by phone at this time. They are, however, as is Dr. Berman's report,  
vague and undefinitive.  
>  
> I have attached my interpretation of your comments as it regards  
> further  
use of my property, for you and others in the EPA to consider (if it is  
at all helpful for you to understand more directly what it is like to  
try to live in this situation) while EPA personnel and the developers'  
consultants attempt to follow protocols to ultimately determine and/or  
perform a course of remedial action.  
>  
> I certainly understand the fine legal line EPA is attempting to  
> "walk",  
although I strongly believe it has long since been crossed ethically by  
retaining Dr. Berman in any capacity by both EPA and the developer.

USEPA SF



1219237

>  
> Sincerely,  
>  
> (b) (6)  
>  
>  
> > [Original Message]  
> > From: <Wroble.Julie@epamail.epa.gov>  
> > To: (b) (6)  
> > Cc: <Smith.Judy@epamail.epa.gov>; <Goodman.Alan@epamail.epa.gov>;  
> > <Villa.Clifford@epamail.epa.gov>; <Villa.Clifford@epamail.epa.gov> ...  
> > Date: 10/21/2004 1:04:06 PM  
> > Subject: responses to your questions  
> >  
> > Mrs. (b) (6)  
> >  
> > Attached you'll find a response to the questions you raised about  
> > your family's activities at North Ridge Estates. I hope that you'll  
> > feel free to call me if the answers I've provided are unclear or if  
> > you have further concerns. (See attached file: (b) (6) response  
> > -ag\_js.doc)  
> >  
> > Julie Wroble  
> > EPA Region 10  
> > 1200 6th Avenue, OEA-095  
> > Seattle, WA 98101  
> >  
> > 206/553-1079

  
ASBESTOSEVAL-1.doc